

1 — D.O. (F 720/128) Secret — 9.7.38  
Two copy of letter to C.I.D. from  
Gov. Genl. h. Zealand regarding the strategic  
importance of the Pacific Islands refer  
1 + 3 m - 137.

2 — W. Pac. Secret — 13.6.38  
Comments on a/cp from Gov. Genl  
h. Zealand enclosed in w. 1.

Subject to the views of  
the P.M. Dept + Mr. Rigg? send  
copy of 2, without enclosure, to the  
C.I.D. <sup>for comment</sup> refer the D.O. letter enclosed  
in 1.

D.W. Wilson  
8/7

W. Rigg  
P. Rigg  
M. Brown

May we have your obs., please?

J. Howard  
8/7

I have no special obs. ~~etc~~  
M. Brown 20/7

Nov 2. I suppose N.Z. has no seaplanes  
therefore looks for facilities for landplanes

Logdon

indrain 20/7  
11

? As proposed by W.D. Wickham  
in copy to D.O. refer 1.

J. Howard 21/7

W. Halder  
21.7  
at home



3

To: C.S.W. (w/ 2 women) Lunc. 23.7.38.

4  
5

D.O } (w/ 2 women) Lunc  
Am. } 27. 25.7.38.

6

— C.I.) 1455-B Letter — 23.7 38

(no year read)

strategic importance of the Pacific Islands

no. 6 appears as item 15 on the Agenda for the meeting of the C.I. to be held on Wednesday 27th July at 4.30pm

D.W. Johnson  
— 25/7

W. Bigg 10/13/28/7  
P.W. Dye. ad 29/7  
H.S. Cullen

To see + await ~~list~~ minutes of C.I.D. meeting.

J. Howard 28/7 above

X |

C.I.D. explained that our letter of 23.7.38 would not be brought up at the meeting on 27.7.38.

H. Calder  
29.7



Y — (117) 1463-B Secret — 23. 7. 38

strategic importance of the Pacific Islands.

Quart meeting.

Approved 29.8.38  
attached.

JK

P. D.O (F. 720/136) Secret — 10.9.38.

Ref 1 - two copy telegram No. 116 Secret to NZ  
of 9th Sept. agreeing proposals etc.

No 7 has not yet been before the

C.I.D.

No 8 follows upon the conclusion of  
the C.I.D. meeting of 24/7/38 — copy  
attached.

Wait.

Approved 12/9/38

Wait extract from C.I.D. minutes of  
meeting of 27th July & then consider  
what we are to say to the H.C.  
in view of A.

Approved 12/9



9. D.O. *Urgent Immediate* (F. 720/449) -

13.9.38

Ref 8 - encloses copy telegram from Gougeon N°2  
of 12.9.38 referring to arrangements re proposed  
landing ground in Fiji.

I presume that Nos. 7 and 9 will be  
considered at an early meeting of the C.I.D.  
& that then the reply can be sent to  
New Zealand? As you will see  
it is proposed to commence the survey in  
Fiji early in October.

J. Howard  
14.9.38

L. Bygg

P.O. M. Dept.

W. S. C.

10 - *Examiner* from 331st number of the  
C.I.D. — 27.7.38

A | I do not know why No. 7 was not  
brought up at the C.I.D. meeting of  
27/7, but it will be seen from conclusion  
(d) of No. 10 that the H.C. for W.P. will  
be invited to attend the Conference  
to discuss Pacific Islands matters.

In any case, we should at once  
tell Sir Arthur Richards by tel. ref. 2  
of the C.I.D. decision; for it will be  
seen that the encl. 6 & 9 has been  
repeated to him.

I submit dept.

J. Howard 14/9

the H.C.  
for W.P.



I don't think that we can yet assume that A is correct. All that the C.I.D. have agreed so far is to take note of the views expressed by the Sops and the High Comm being associated with the proposed Conference. No decision has yet been reached as to the Conference - see Conclusion (C) in 10 and last sentence of enclosure in 8.

Moreover the D.O. in 9 asks for C.O. views on the suggestion that the New Zealand Govt should make the arrangements for the proposed survey in direct co-operation with the H.C.

It is obviously desirable that this should be done. I would therefore telegraph to the H.C. as in the revised app herewith.

Then send copy of that telegram to the D.O. in a letter concurring in the proposal for direct communication between N.Z. & the H.C. in regard to the survey arrangements of our letter under to Am & C.I.D. aff  
infer

The D.O. have asked for an early reply to 9  
WPS  
16/4

WPS

15/4

WPS  
16/4

J.S. 16/4/38  
at once

J. J. Howard  
16/4



11 Tel No 83 Secret to High Com W. Pacific 16/9/38

12 To D.O. - m/c 11. - 9 am -

13 - A.M. } - m/c 12 - encl - LFF. } 20.9.38.  
14 - C.I.D. }

15. To D.O. Secret (copy) 21.9.38  
(Original in 13/3164/38 Gene Secret)

No. 7 is down for consideration at the meeting of the C.I.D. to be held on Thursday, 6<sup>th</sup> October.

I attach a note for the use of the Sops or other C.O. representative at the meeting

W/Syri

J Halder 5/10  
5:10

16 The note, of which a copy is attached, has been included in the brief for the S.I.S.

W Smith 7/10

17 — C.I.D. (6/17/5) Secret - 17.10.38  
To extract from minutes of 333rd meeting type



19/38

See 14/3164 and 14/3164/38.

? Wait for the reply from New Zealand to the telegram which was sent on 15/10/38 - see 16/3164/38.

J.C. Redd  
25/10.

J. Alder  
25.10.38

\* by telegram.

We have said nothing to the H.C. West. Pacific since No. 11 was sent, and I think we might now tell him of Concl. (C) of No. 17, adding that the N.Z. Govt. has been asked to co-operate in this matter on the same basis as previously offered for route A (see 16/3164/38).

Howard  
25/10

See the minutes on ~~the subject~~  
14/3164 - ? wait reply from NZ

Adair  
25/10

I agree. NZ have been asked for early reply which should in any case come in before the end of the month

W. J. Scott  
25/10

Alder  
26.10.38  
at home

18 To New Zealand <sup>Donec</sup> Tel 140 Secret 15/10/38  
19 - New Zealand Tel 115 Secret 26/10/38  
(18 ansd)

20 To H.C. W. Pac Tel 108 Secret 31.10.38  
(in 18/3164/38)



21 ~~20~~ To: Am  
 22 ~~21~~ .. D.O  
 23 ~~22~~ .. C.I.D  
 24 ~~23~~ .. 7.O.  
 25 ~~24~~ .. Army.

(wr. 18) Lunt L77. 1. 11. 58  
 (on 18/31/64/58 fm)

26 ~~25~~ W. B. Archer & Secret — 26/x/38  
 Enquiries re scope of suggested conference  
 of (6)

27 ~~26~~ J.O. Galfon & — 31. x. 38  
 In copy of reply to W. Archer (25)

Dept. required  
 on this H  
 note re: mins  
 on 18/31/64/58

26 & 27 It would not seem practicable to take any  
 say anything to the H.A. about this conf. until  
 it has been decided who shall attend the proposed  
 conf. and what its scope shall be.

Meanwhile I attach brief disp. in accord<sup>ce</sup>  
 with the minutes on 18/31/64/58

R. B. de  
 8. 11. 58

28 To: Wm. Pae Lunt (wr. 18919) 9. 11. 58.



29 C.I.D. — recd Oct  
2<sup>nd</sup> copy of a letter from Hollis to  
Archer

30 Copy of letter from Bettale to Archer DO  
<sup>prepared</sup>  
re Pacific Islands Conference

Registered here for record  
Proby

Loghinj  
" " "  
aborn

31 Herbertson Comp — 12. 11. 38  
2<sup>nd</sup> copy of letter to Dixon re audit  
to Sel.

A copy of this had better go on the  
lms concerning the audit in question  
thereafter

Done  
ADH

Loghinj  
" " "  
aborn

32 Herbertson (Comp) — 12. 11. 38  
2<sup>nd</sup> copy letter to Archer re proposed Comp  
on Trans-Pacific air routes

Copy req<sup>d</sup> on  
Atkinson (West. Survey)  
file 3164/58

33 DO. A8406/16/45 — 18. 11. 38  
<sup>Immediate</sup>

Suggests 24<sup>th</sup> Nov (4.45 pm) as date for inter-  
departmental meeting to discuss HM Govt's policy  
re Pacific Is.

34 DSR Ryde Secor (Comp) — Nov 1938  
2<sup>nd</sup> copy letter to Archer (Doms) re proposed New Zealand  
Confere on Pacific Is.



During the misunderstanding, 26, 27, 29, 30, 32 & 34, which are really geographical, were not dealt with on the geographical file (86059). Their registration has been discussed & it has been decided to leave them as they are now registered, as they deal with air out of a C.I.D. meeting. 32 & 34 (copies of) should also be registered on (86059).

It will be seen from 33 (just after (5)) that the F.O. suggested that this proposal should be discussed at the meeting to be held tomorrow at which Pacific Islands questions are going to be generally discussed.

The D.O. have prepared a resume' of the coms - now annexed. Mr Dixon asked me for the C.O. view: & I told him that we thought that a conference was probably necessary: but that its nature had better be discussed. We can, if necessary, change this view as a result of the discussion: & I confirm that I have no strong views as to the exact scope of the conference.

Whatever happens I cannot but think that it would be desirable for the C.O. of the W.Pac. There are many questions in the W.Pac - not only air routes & defence - but political questions - certainly in regard to the possession of W.Pac



(Islands - [e.g. return of the line (Islands)]  
in which a conference is desirable -  
But the discussion may clear the air  
& then we can make our final  
recommendation after it.

A.B. Smith

23/xi/38

Jaques

The Conference discussed this.  
Wait for the record.

W. J. Bigg

24/11

A.B. Smith  
24/11  
above

36

O.A.C. 1635

1.12.38

Note by Secy. re Jaques Is.

No. 36 appears as item 1 on  
the agenda for the meeting of the  
O.A.C. to be held on Monday  
12th Dec at 3pm.

J. W. W. W. W.  
5/12

Mr. Bigg.

I understand from Major Jacob that unless  
we wish to suggest some item for the agenda of the  
proposed conference which is definitely controversial,  
and of which other Departments should therefore  
receive prior notice, it will suffice if we make  
our suggestions orally at the meeting on Monday  
next.

Mr.



Mr. Acheson has been good enough to say that P. and M. Department will take over the responsibility of putting forward suggestions on behalf of the Colonial Office, and that a representative of that Department will attend the meeting for this purpose. If there are any suggestions which you would wish to make you will no doubt consult Mr. Acheson so that an agreed statement can be presented to the Committee.

So far as the strategic aspects are concerned, we can safely leave the Service Departments to raise them.

*Whee*

7th December, 1938.

*I have spoken to Mr Herbertson. The AM will make suggestions in regard to air matters to be decided and will let me know before Monday what suggestions they propose to make, so as to give us an opportunity of commenting.*

*Log Bey  
2/12*

The P. and M. Dept. is concerned in this matter as regards the political aspects and - in Mr. Lee's absence - as regards the defence aspects.

On the defence aspects the Air Ministry and the Admiralty will be represented, ~~and~~ I do not think that there is anything that we can usefully suggest at this stage.

*and they are very much alive to these aspects.*

On



On the political aspects there are:-

(a) The question of concerting an agreed policy with the Australian and New Zealand Governments regarding the general attitude which should be adopted towards any further claims made by the United States Government in relation to islands in the Western Pacific, the proposed four Power conference, etc.

This is, strictly speaking, more of a Foreign Office matter than a matter for us, and no doubt the Foreign Office representative will make it clear that they want an item of this kind to be included in the agenda.

(b) The particular question of the attitude which should be adopted in regard to the <sup>so-called</sup> Line Islands. At present we have not defined our view. The Islands are uninhabited, and neither the U.S. Government nor any other Power have, so far as we know, shown any inclination to claim them. But I suppose they may be a potential bargaining counter with the United States and, presumably, they may possibly have some value in relation to the defence of New Zealand and/or Australia. At present they are "in the air", but we ought to know where we stand about them.

(c) I suppose it is just possible that the Australian and New Zealand Governments might make some suggestion about the handing over of the <sup>ordinary</sup> administration ~~to them~~ of some of these Islands. <sup>to them.</sup> Such a suggestion would, I think, be quite outside the scope of the discussions which are here projected and their inclusion in the agenda should be resisted.

(d) Finally, it occurs to me that these

matters

v. P. Berne  
minutes 23/11



matters are gradually coming to a point at which questions of expenditure will be involved. For example, measures are being initiated for the construction of air stations on Hull and Christmas Islands. At present the Treasury is presumably going to pay, and it occurs to me that the discussion might perhaps extend to the financial aspects and the question of division of cost. But if other Departments do not think the stage has been reached at which this financial matter need be considered, I should not be inclined to press it qua the C.O. *It is mainly a Treasury matter.*

Mr. Bevir will attend the meeting of the O.D.C. on this subject as the representative of the P. and M. Dept.

—  
*Since dictating the abm, I have had a word with Mr Dixon. As a result I understand he will raise at the meeting the question of HDS representation at the Conference in view of the fact that Sir St. Ballance will be <sup>reaching</sup> New Zealand in time.*

*Another question which should be raised is - should Sir St Duke go? I should answer this affirmatively.*

*Finally, it might be useful to discuss ~~point~~ on the agenda of the Conference the question of the defence of Tonga (v. 6214/53/38/26n below)*

*W. B. Bevir  
5/8/12*



37

DO

8/12/38

(Forwards copies of letters to ODE & AFM)

No action on No 37.

r. minutes also as to No 36.

APF  
8/12

Sir C. Parkinson,

You will probably wish to discuss with the Dept. before the O.D.C. meeting on Monday next.

I have no special comments to offer on points of detail. The importance of keeping in step with the U.S.A. is obvious; personally I should rate it far above any "strategic" advantages that may attach to establishing a claim to this or that island or group of islands.

As to the possible transfer to Australia or New Zealand of any (or all) of our interests in the



the Pacific, I agree that discussion  
would be premature. But the question  
is one that is bound to come up  
in the future, & will have to be  
considered seriously on its merits.

JRS  
8/12/38

38 — odc 1642 Secret - 7.12.38  
minutes by the D. of M. O + J., W.O.  
page 36.

This paper was added  
to the agenda for the meeting  
of the odc held on Monday  
12th Dec. Await minutes

Del W. L. L. S.  
15/12

38<sup>a</sup> Copy of Do let 179 Sec 1. N. Zealand 12/12/38  
re UK. Australia. N. J. confer  
original copy in 86059/38 & Pac



39. D.O. 720/177 Sec \_\_\_\_\_ 14. 12. 38

Of 183 Sec to NZealand re H.M.S. Leander's visit to Christmas I.

? Await a copy of the reply

A. C. Lane  
20.12.38.

40 G.O. Secret \_\_\_\_\_ 14. 12. 38

Is of letter to Major Jacob C.I.D. enclosing a note of the political items which it is desirable to place on the agenda of the proposed confere between H.M.G. & Australia, NZealand, U.K. & Pacific Is.

38a ? Put by

39 ? As proposed

40. ? We had better wait for a copy of

the D.D.C. minutes.

Aidani 22/12

Yes, I saw a copy of the draft D.D.C. minutes to N. Adress some time ago.

W. Lane 22

W. Lane

23/12

I have put it in the copy

41 D.D.C. Minute N° 329 \_\_\_\_\_ 21. 12. 38

Results of discussion of 381<sup>st</sup> meeting held 12.12.38 on Pragmatic importance of Pacific I.

These suggestions will no doubt be communicated by the D.O. to New Zealand but the D.O. will wish to consider whether they should be communicated simultaneously or in advance to the H.M. at Suva

W. Lane 24/12



(and HMG in the  
Communities)  
done - vid 2 No 30<sup>n</sup>

I assume that the next step  
will be for the D.O. to <sup>all</sup> pass to the  
Govt. of New Zealand <sup>in the UK.</sup> that HMG wish  
to have this conference, and to  
communicate to them both the proposed  
agenda and the names of the D. representatives  
of HMG in the U.K. It seems to me  
that it will be best to communicate  
with Sir H. Luke at the same time as  
the D.O. communicates with the New Zealand  
and Australia, although if it is possible  
to give him any advance information of  
the date proposed for the conference, this  
might be conveyed to him by telegram,  
so he may be considering plans for  
travel which might clash with the  
conference.

but the question of briefing the  
U.K. representatives must be taken up.

I pass this through N. Dixon,  
as he may be able to confirm the D.O.  
proposals and advise on the lines  
indicated.

Yhce

24/12

I agree that it would now be desirable  
to tell Sir H. Luke that it is proposed  
to hold this conference. ~~that who will be that~~  
~~representatives of the representatives of HMG.~~



For this purpose a telegram should be sent to him referring to No.28; saying that ~~we have~~ <sup>we have</sup> informed the New Zealand Govt. as in No.38A; promising further information regarding the arrangements for the conference as soon as possible, and saying that if the conference takes place ~~we~~ <sup>(it is proposed that he should)</sup> shall be one of the representatives of H.M.G. <sup>in the U.K.</sup>

The telegram should be followed up by a despatch giving the items which H.M.G. propose to suggest to the New Zealand Govt. for inclusion in the agenda, as ~~given~~ in the enclosure to No.41. The despatch should also include, or promise, a brief on these items. As regards some of them, e.g. item 1, it will probably be found that all the necessary papers for the brief have been or are being sent to the H.Cr. on 86059/38. <sup>x</sup> <sub>W.P.</sub> But I am by no means clear that he has been fully briefed on other items, such as for example, items 4, 5 and 6 and 9 and 10.

Another point is that there are to be apparently five representatives of H.M.G. at the conference, and it will be ~~necessary~~ <sup>necessary</sup> I suppose that all these representatives should have copies of all the documents included in the "brief" under each item.

Finally, it seems to me that if ~~the U.K. Govt.~~ <sup>(the U.K. Govt.)</sup> is to propose to the New Zealand Govt. that certain items should be put on the agenda, it is a matter for consideration whether they should accompany their proposals by a memorandum under each head, which the New Zealand Govt. could circulate to the various representatives <sup>at the conference,</sup> as a basis for discussion.

It is, I imagine, out of the question for the preparation of any memoranda of this kind to

be

\* circulating separately.



be left for the U.K. representatives. They <sup>will</sup> ~~are~~ probably meeting ~~ing~~ for the first time at the conference itself and will not be in a position to collaborate in the preparation of memoranda.

It is I suppose possible that the brief provided for the U.K. representatives might be suitable for this purpose and could be communicated to the New Zealand Govt. But I rather doubt it - partly because it will be too voluminous; partly because the documents in the brief will not have been prepared as a basis ~~of~~ <sup>for</sup> discussion at a conference of this kind and will hardly be in a form suitable for this purpose; and partly because it may be the case that the instructions sent to the representatives of H.M.G. on some points may contain matter or be cast in a form which it would be inappropriate to communicate to the Govts. of Australia and New Zealand.

These reflections suggest that it might be advantageous to have a further inter-departmental committee to consider the questions which arise in connection with the preparations for this conference. I would suggest that, if this proposal is adopted, the arrangements for the discussion should be in the hands of the O.D.C. which has already acted as a coordinating body in this matter and has facilities for the duplication of papers which the Departments do not possess. I do not quite know who would represent the C.O. on such a committee, if it is formed, but the P. and M. Dept. (on the political aspect) and the General Dept. (on the air communication and defence aspects) are all involved. But perhaps this could be left for arrangement between Mr. Bevir, Mr. Lee and Mr. Bigg.



It seems to me that time ought not to be lost in getting ahead with this question of briefing the U.K. representatives and furnishing the New Zealand Govt. with any papers which it may be considered desirable to provide for circulation at the conference. These <sup>papers</sup> ~~positions~~ are secret, and presumably documents will have to go by the all sea mail which will take some time. On the other hand, it is not desired that the assembling of the conference should be delayed longer than is absolutely necessary.

*AB Acheson*  
5. 29/4/38

I have spoken to Mr. Acheson. There are <sup>three</sup> ~~three~~ points. First, that though Sir H. Luke will be one of the representatives of H.M.G. in the U.K., he will be there to put the point of view of Fiji and the Western Pacific. Secondly, it follows that the "brief" sent to him should not be so much instructions as <sup>to</sup> how to proceed but information as to the attitude of H.M.G. on the question subject to any views which <sup>the may wish</sup> ~~the may wish~~ to put as <sup>Comm or H.C.</sup> ~~Comm or H.C.~~ or which the Conference, in view of its local knowledge, can form. Thirdly, it is important from the point of view of the P. and M. Dept. that the future <sup>line</sup> of the ~~the~~ Islands should be settled, because we do not want the Japanese moving in there. It would be better



and the Staffs  
are much less  
likely to side than  
if claimed by U.S.,  
than if claimed  
by H.M.G.?

better, therefore, if the Islands were  
definitely settled to be either ours or the  
U.S. Government's. Personally, I see no reason  
why we should not let the U.S. take them over  
whatever our claims may be, and this might be a  
useful gesture in bargaining with the U.S. But  
before deciding whether we can do this, we must  
have the views of the Conference, and our  
instructions to Sir H. Luke should, I think, be  
clear that he should get the Conference to  
consider this aspect of the matter fully.

I take it that we shall at some stage  
be telling the New Zealand Government that Sir H.  
Luke will be going to the Conference, but we  
ought not to do this before Sir H. Luke knows  
that he is going.

A.B. 501  
29/12/38

The discussions at the proposed  
conference will fall under three main heads:-  
(1) Defence (2) Civil aviation (3) Political questions.

As regards (1), the situation has now  
been altered by New Zealand telegram No. 144  
proposing a wide extension of the scope of the  
discussions. This telegram has been  
referred to the Secretary to the O.D.C. for consideration  
of the best procedure for dealing with it, but it  
is evident that it will require consideration by  
some other body than the O.D.C., e.g. the Deputy  
Chiefs of Staff or even the Chiefs of Staff  
themselves, with representatives of the D.O., the  
C.O. and perhaps the F.O. The brief on  
this aspect of the matter and any memoranda  
to be circulated to the conference will naturally be

(Copy sent to C.O. & D.O.)



prepared by that body.

As regards (2), any briefs and memoranda will naturally be prepared by Sir Warren Fisher's Committee.

As regards (3), the F.O. suggested in the enclosure to (40) that it would be sufficient for the U.K. representatives to have copies of the four documents mentioned therein, but I rather agree with Mr. Atcheron that something more will be required, and it would be better to have a comprehensive brief. There is also the question of preparing a memorandum for the whole conference on the political questions. It might be best to arrange a meeting of representatives of the D.O., C.O. and F.O. to consider this. Sir H. Batterbee would be ready to preside over such a meeting.

As to the question of representation at the conference, in view of New Zealand Telegram No. 144 it now looks as though the conference could not meet until after Sir H. Batterbee's arrival in New Zealand. It will be seen that the New Zealand Government themselves suggest that the H. C. for the Western Pacific should attend.

C. W. Dixon

30/12/38

? As at A

J. H. Alder

2.1.39

Sir J. Shuckburgh

I agree that a meeting of representatives of D.O., C.O. & F.O. will be desirable, and -



Especially as it now  
looks as though it will be  
necessary for me to deal with  
this matter on my arrival  
in NZ - I am quite ready  
to provide cover the hunting if  
this is the general wish

H. S. D.

2.1.39

I entirely agree, & am most  
grateful to Sir H. Batterbee for  
his offer.

H.S.D.

2/1/39

at once

Interim action  
as at A

42 ~~Contract~~ from minutes of  
381<sup>st</sup> meeting of B.C. 12.12.38  
of (36) & (38)

43 C.D. (Key Comp) — 21.12.38  
Discipline to Dixon (D.D.) re agenda  
for proposed confere



44 DO Secret ————— 29. 12. 38

Inc of letter to Wey on Foster re the  
UK representatives at the Confer

42, 43 & 44 should be discussed  
at the Conference referred to in the preceding  
minutes. Admin 5/1/39

45 DO Secret ————— 5. 1. 39

Inc of minutes of conversations between  
Lt E Harding, Lt H Batters, Lt Dixon  
and Lt Sway & Mr Jarr

46 C.D. ————— 5. 1. 39

Inc of letter to Dixon

Arranged for  
Thursday 3.15.  
at Mr Ballantyne's  
room. *W.H.*

I understand from Mr. Dixon that the  
Dominions Office will make arrangements for the  
Interdepartmental meeting agreed to in the minutes  
under No. 41. As this meeting will be concerned <sup>with</sup> with  
the political questions to be discussed at the  
proposed conference, I do not think that I need  
attend it.

As regards the enclosure to 45, I have  
suggested to Mr. Dixon that in addition to the  
officers mentioned in the 4th paragraph of the  
enclosure, the Joint Planning Committee might also  
consult Colonel R. Miles, of the New Zealand General  
Staff, who has just completed the course at the  
Imperial Defence College.

*W.H.*

10/1/39.



47 D.O. F 720/1824 Secret — Do. 12. 38

In of letter to Jant + copies of  
two sets from New Zealand N<sup>o</sup> 144 + 145  
Secret

48 Do. A 167/21/45 — 9. 1. 39

2 copies from Washington re  
report of U.S. Naval Bd presented to  
Congress re Pacific Island.

Put 124